



**ARAB ACADEMY FOR SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY
AND MARITIME TRANSPORT**

College of Engineering and Technology

Construction and Building Engineering Department

**PRIORITIZING OF DIFFERENT CRITERIA
FOR THE ENHANCEMENT OF PORT
RESILIENT INFRASTRUCTURE**

By

OMAR ABDULLAH AWADH BASAEEED

A thesis submitted to AASTMT in partial
Fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

CONSTRUCTION AND BUILDING ENGINEERING

Supervisors

Prof. Akram Soliman Elselmy

Dean, College of Engineering & Technology

Professor of Coastal Engineering &

Port Planning

College of Engineering and Technology

Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport

Alexandria, Egypt

DECLARATION

I certify that all the material in this thesis that is not my own work has been identified, and that no material is included for which a degree has previously been conferred on me.

The contents of this thesis reflect my own personal views, and are not necessarily endorsed by the University.

Name Omar Abdullah Awadh Basaeed

Signature

Date



We certify that we have read the present work and that in our opinion it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a thesis towards the partial fulfillment of the Master's Degree requirements in

Construction and Building Engineering

Omar Abdullah Awadh Basaeed

**From
College of Engineering and Technology
AASTMT
2022/3/21**

Supervisors:

Name: Prof. Akram Soliman Elselmy

Position: Professor of Coastal Engineering & Port Planning, Dean, College of Engineering and Technology, AASTM, Alexandria

Signature:

Name: Dr. Youssef Mohamed Khairy

Position: Doctor of Construction & Building Engineering, College of Engineering and Technology, AASTM, Alexandria

Signature:

Examiners:

Name: Prof. Hossam Eldin Mohamad Moghazy

Position: Professor of Irrigation Engineering and Drainage, Faculty of Engineering, Former Minister of Water Resources & Irrigation, Alexandria University, Alexandria

Signature:

Name: Prof. Rien Van Zetten

Position: Visiting professor World Maritime University, Malmö, Sweden

Signature:

Name: Prof. Akram Soliman Elselmy

Position: Professor of Coastal Engineering & Port Planning, Dean, College of Engineering and Technology, AASTM, Alexandria

Signature:

Acknowledgments

I am profoundly grateful to my supervisors Prof. Akram Soliman, Dean of the College of Engineering and Technology, Professor of Coastal Engineering and Port planning, Department of Construction & Building, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport (AASTM), and Dr. Youssef Khairy, Lecturer in Construction & Building Engineering Department, AASTM, for their trust, encouragement, guidance, support and extreme patience throughout this work and for their precious academic and personal advice. I would like to thank all my dear brothers and colleagues for their continuous support, comments, and remarkable suggestions. I would like to thank all the AASTM staff for their support and help during my study. I would also like to extend my great thanks and gratitude to the Hadhramaut Foundation for Human Development for its moral and financial support throughout the period of my Master's studies, in particular, Sheikh Eng. Abdullah Ahmed Bugshan, Chairman of the Board of Trustees of Hadhramaut Foundation. Finally, there are no words that can explain my love and gratitude to my parents whose unconditional love, patience, and support always kept me going through my life. Special thanks for my siblings for their support and inspirational advices. Thank for all my incredible and loving family and friends for their immense support, encouragement, and inspiration during my study.

Omar Abdullah Awad Basaeed

Abstract

Port infrastructure systems are facing an increasing number of disruptions such as global trade growth, increasing vessel sizes, the impacts of climate change, shifting demands and new jobs, and new constraints. As a result, this research develops and verifies a port resilient infrastructure decision model based on the decision-makers point of view of different ports in Europe and the Middle East as well as the Advisory Center of the Ports & Logistics which represents the private sector, the aim of the study is to determine the most important criteria that enhance port resilient infrastructure. Thus, the research will help in a better understanding of the port's resilience infrastructure effect on the sustainable prosperity of both social and economic aspects while considering the environmental situation by balancing four major aspects: physical, environmental, economical, and digital. The research used the methodology Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) to analyze the model, the necessary data used in AHP was collected using a questionnaire.

The results indicate that there are some variations in views and expectations among the decision-makers in the different ports, where European ports consider that the physical criterion represented in planning and design is the most important criterion for enhancing the resilience of the port's infrastructure, while the ports of the Middle East consider that enhancing the economic criterion represented in the efficient use of resources will enhance the resilience of the port's infrastructure, while the Advisory Center for Ports and Logistics consider (representative of the private sector) that enhancing the digital criterion represented in digital optimization will help strengthen the port's resilient infrastructure. Therefore, the global priorities of all responses were integrated to determine the common global priorities of each of European ports, the ports of the Middle East, and the private sector. The results showed that planning & design, and efficient use of resources is the most important criteria for port resilient infrastructure that can be used in developing port infrastructure strategies and plans. While ecological-friendly infrastructure and technical innovation appear not to have a significant impact on ports' infrastructure resilience.

Also, sensitivity was analyzed to see the extent of the impact increase of the local weights on global priorities. Where the results showed the stability of global priorities in most cases when compared with the initial results, except for some minor effects on the order of global priorities, which can be bypassed, so the results can be considered acceptable.

Based on decision-makers' responses from the questionnaires, the magnitude of benefits (economic, social, and environmental) resulting from the resilient infrastructure projects implemented in the ports are from high to very high. This will encourage stakeholders to invest in this type of projects.

Planning & design has been applied as the most important priority to enhance the port infrastructure resilient on the Aden Container Terminal and compared with the proposal submitted by the Aden Port Authority, where the result of the comparison was our proposal has a larger storage capacity, longer berths, and a greater number of ships compared to the proposal submitted by the Aden Port Authority.

Table of Content	Page
Acknowledgments	iv
Abstract	v
Table of Content	vii
List of Tables	x
List of Figures	xi
List of Abbreviations	xiii
1. Chapter ONE: Introduction	
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Problem Statement.....	3
1.3 Importance of the study	5
1.4 Aims and objectives.....	5
1.5 Thesis Outline.....	7
2. Chapter TWO: Literature Review	
2.1 Port Infrastructure.....	8
2.1.1 Introduction	8
2.1.2 Port infrastructure Services	9
2.1.3 Factors Influencing the Port infrastructure	10
2.1.4 Port infrastructure Performance Evaluation	14
2.2 Flexibility in Port Infrastructure	16
2.2.1 Introduction	16
2.2.2 Flexibility Attributes and Types	17
2.2.3 Incorporating flexibility in infrastructures	18
2.3 Sustainability in Port Infrastructure.....	22
2.3.1 Introduction	22
2.3.2 Sustainable Development and Its Dimensions	24
2.3.3 Sustainability Applications in Port Infrastructure	27
2.4 Resilient Infrastructure	29
2.4.1 Introduction	29
2.4.2 The application of Resilient Infrastructure in the Port	31
3. Chapter THREE: Data collection & Analysis	
3.1 Methodology.....	34
3.1.1 Data Collection.....	35

3.1.2 Expert Choice software	36
3.1.3 Analysis	38
3.2 Port resilient infrastructure model	38
3.2.1 Physical.....	39
3.2.1.1 Planning and design.....	40
3.2.1.2 Reclamation material.....	41
3.2.1.3 Resilience against climate change	42
3.2.2 Environmental	43
3.2.2.1 Reduction of Pollution.....	44
3.2.2.2 Ecosystems management.....	45
3.2.2.3 Ecological friendly infrastructure	47
3.2.3 Economical	47
3.2.3.1 Public-private Partnerships.....	48
3.2.3.2 Financing	49
3.2.3.3 Efficient use of resources	49
3.2.4 Digital	50
3.2.4.1 Digital optimization.....	50
3.2.4.2 Automation	52
3.2.4.3 Technical innovation	53
4. Chapter FOUR: Results & Discussion	
4.1 Results	60
4.1.1 Local weights for criteria and sub-criteria.....	63
4.1.2 The global priorities for European ports.....	63
4.1.3 The global priorities for the Middle East ports.....	66
4.1.4 The global priorities for the private sector	69
4.1.5 Sensitivity analysis	69
4.1.6 Responses integration	71
4.1.7 The benefits from Resilient infrastructure projects	71
4.2 Discussion.....	73
5. Chapter FIVE: A case study(Application on Aden Container Terminal)	
5.1 Introduction	77
5.1.1 General information about Aden	77
5.2 Aden port	78
5.2.1 History of Aden port.....	78

5.2.2 Importance of Aden port.....	79
5.3 Aden Container Terminal (ACT)	79
5.3.1 The existing status of ACT	79
5.3.2 Aden port authority proposal to develop ACT	83
5.3.3 A new proposal to develop ACT	86
5.3.4 Comparison between proposal Aden port and our proposal.....	91
6. Chapter SIX: Conclusion & Recommendations	
6.1 Conclusion.....	94
6.2 Recommendations	96
6.3 Future Studies	96
Bibliography.....	97
Appendixes	101

List of Tables

<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
2.1	Seaports: services and infrastructure considered essentials	10
2.2	Summary of performance indicators suggested by UNCTAD.....	14
2.3	Layers of the port system and desirable attributes.....	20
3.1	Saaty's scale for importance comparison.....	55
3.2	RI Values for different sizes n of the comparison matrices.....	57
4.1	The local weights of the different items.....	61
4.2	Global priorities of European ports.....	65
4.3	Global priorities of Middle East ports.....	68
4.4	Shows the projects of resilient infrastructure implemented in the ports.....	72
4.5	Responses of ports regard benefits resulting.....	72
5.1	Comparison between of a set of criteria for the two proposals.....	91

List of Figures

<u>Figure No.</u>	<u>Caption</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.1	Flowchart to achieve the main aim of the research	6
2.1	Port system and external forces	13
2.2	Three-layer infra model of a port	19
2.3	The three pillars of port sustainability	25
2.4	Four dimensions of port sustainability	26
2.6	17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)	29
3.1	Basic information for respondents.....	36
3.2	The logo of the expert choice program.	37
3.3	Main Interface of Expert choice V11 program	37
3.4	Port resilient infrastructure decision model.	40
3.5	Placement of fill materials	42
3.6	Operational platform level of 5m above MSL in Tuas Port – Singapore	43
3.7	The top 10 environmental priorities of European ports for 2018	46
3.8	Reef transport operations from the area in which the port is established	46
3.9	Coordination and acceleration of port operations using digitization	51
3.10	Automate port equipment for yard systems and gates.....	52
3.11	Bay stations for automatic stacking crane operations at the Port of Antwerp	53
4.2a	Global priorities of the port of Antwerp.....	63
4.2b	Global priorities of the port of Marseille.....	64
4.2c	Global priorities of the port of Genoa	64
4.2d	Global priorities of the port of Valencia (The first response).	64
4.2e	Global priorities of the port of Valencia (The second response).	65

List of Figures (Cont'd)

<u>Figure No.</u>	<u>Caption</u>	<u>Page</u>
4.3a	Global priorities of the port of Alexandria	66
4.3b	Global priorities of the port of Aden (The first response).	66
4.3c	Global priorities of the port of Aden (The second response).	67
4.3d	Global priorities of the port of Damietta (The first response).	67
4.3e	Global priorities of the port of Damietta (The second response).	68
4.4	Global priorities of the ports & logistics advisory centre.....	69
4.5	Sensitivity analysis results for the port of Antwerp	70
4.6	Integrated global priority of eleven ports	71
5.1	Location of Aden.....	77
5.2	Map of Aden.....	78
5.3	Geographical and ideal location for the port of Aden.	80
5.4	Navigational Information about the port of Aden	80
5.5	The existing status of the Aden Container Terminal.....	81
5.6	Aden Container Terminal (ACT) with container handling facilities	82
5.7	Aden port authority proposal to develop ACT	85
5.8	Consolidation of Terminals in one location	87
5.9	Adopting a Fingers-pier Configuration of the Tuas port.....	87
5.10	Typical caisson structure	88
5.11	Container terminals for Jebel Ali port.....	89
5.12	The master plan for the development of the port of Aden.....	90
5.13	Our proposal to ACT develop (Fingers piers).....	92

List of Abbreviations

Symbols

	Nomenclatures
ACT	Aden Container Terminal
AECOM	American Engineering Company
AFSCA	Asian Freight and Supply Chain Award
AGVs	Automated Guided Vehicles
AHP	Analytical Hierarchy Process
AI	Artificial Intelligence
AMP	Alternative Marine Power
APP	Adaptive Port Planning
ARMG	Automatic Rail-Mounted Gantry cranes
CFS	Container Freight Station
CHE	Cargoes Handling Equipment
CI	Consistency Index
CIS	Commonwealth of Independent States
CR	Consistency Ratio
DGPS	Differential Global Positioning System
DGNSS	Differential Global Navigation Satellite System
DP	Dubai Ports
DRR	Disaster Risk Reducing
EDI	Electronic Data Interchange
EMS	Environmental Management Systems
ESPO	European Sea Ports Organization
EU	Europe Union
FCD	Floating Car Data
GHGs	Greenhouse Gas
GM	Green Marine
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite System
GPS	Global Positioning Systems
GRI	Global Reporting Initiative
HDVs	Heavy-Duty Vehicles
ICT	Information and Communication Technologies
IMO	International Maritime Organization
IoT	Internet-of-Things
IPMS	Integrated Ports Management System
ISO	International Organization for Standardization

List of Abbreviations (Cont'd)

Symbols	Nomenclatures
IS	Information Systems
IT	Information Technologies
ITSs	Intelligent Transportation Systems
KPA	Kenya Port Authorities
LPR	Laryngopharyngeal reflux
MCDA	Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis
MPA	Maritime and Port of Singapore Authority
MSL	Mean Sea Level
NIAC	National Infrastructure Advisory Council's
OCR	Optical Character Recognition
OGVs	Ocean-Going Vessels
OSITRAN	Organization Supervisory for investment in infrastructure transport
PIS	Port Infrastructure Systems
PIANC	World Association for Waterborne Transport Infrastructure
PLA	Port of Los Angeles
POLA	Ports & Logistics Advisory
PoR	Port of Rotterdam
PSA	Port of Singapore Authority
RDL	Royal Decree Legislative
RFID	Radio Frequency Identification
RI	Randomized Inconsistency
RTGs	Rubber Tire Gantry cranes
SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals
STS	Ship-To-Shore Cranes
TEUs	Twenty-foot Equivalent Units
TOS	Thoracic outlet syndrome
ULCV	Ultra Large Container Vessels
UNCTAD	United Nations Conference on Trade and Development
UN	United Nations
US	United States
WPSP	World Port Sustainability Program
WLAN	Wireless Local Area Network
YPA	Yemen Ports Authority

Bibliography

- Aden Ports Development Company (2021) *Aden Container Terminal*. Aden.
- Adshead, D. et al. (2019) ‘Delivering on the Sustainable Development Goals through long-term infrastructure planning’, *Global Environmental Change*. Elsevier Ltd, 59(March), p. 101975. doi: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2019.101975.
- Alanbay, O. (2005) ‘Erp Selection Using Expert Choice Software’, *ISAHP July 8-10, 2005*, (47), pp. 1–14. Available at: <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.579.9207&rep=rep1&type=pdf>.
- Alho, T. et al. (2018) ‘Designing future-proof container terminals’, *Kalmar International & Rebel Group*.
- Bhatti, O. K. and Hanjra, A. R. (2019) ‘Development prioritization through analytical hierarchy process (AHP) - decision making for port selection on the one belt one road’, 12(3), pp. 121–150. doi: 10.1108/JCEFTS-04-2019-0020.
- Boulos, J. (2016) ‘Sustainable Development of Coastal Cities-Proposal of a Modelling Framework to Achieve Sustainable City-Port Connectivity’. Elsevier B.V., 216(October 2015), pp. 974–985. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.12.094.
- Business, H. and Sdn, S. (2017) ‘Decision Making Using the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP); A Step by Step Approach’, 2, pp. 244–246.
- Chen, J. et al. (2020) ‘Network evolution of logistics service effect of port infrastructure in coastal China’, *IEEE Access*. IEEE, 8, pp. 46946–46957. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2975364.
- Chen, Y., Wei, Y. and Peng, L. (2018) ‘Ecological technology model and path of seaport reclamation construction’, *Ocean and Coastal Management*. Elsevier, 165(August), pp. 244–257. doi: 10.1016/j.occecoaman.2018.08.031.
- Cho, H. and Park, H. (2017) ‘Constructing resilience model of port infrastructure based on system dynamics’, *International Journal of Safety and Security Engineering*, 7(3), pp. 352–360. doi: 10.2495/SAFE-V7-N3-352-360.
- DP WORLD (2021) *Services and facilities available at Jebel Ali Port*, <https://www.dpworld.com/en/uae/services/ports-and-terminals/jebel-ali-port>.
- Duchin, F. (2017) ‘Resources for sustainable economic development: A framework for evaluating infrastructure system alternatives’, *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 9(11). doi: 10.3390/su9112105.
- EBEIDO consulting civil engineers (2007) *Port of Aden Master Plan*. Alexandria.
- Erdogan, S. A., Šaparauskas, J. and Turskis, Z. (2017) ‘Decision Making in Construction Management: AHP and Expert Choice Approach’, *Procedia Engineering*. Elsevier B.V., 172, pp. 270–276. doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2017.02.111.
- Fernández-Sánchez, G. and Rodríguez-López, F. (2010) ‘A methodology to identify sustainability indicators in construction project management - Application to infrastructure projects in Spain’, *Ecological Indicators*, 10(6), pp. 1193–1201. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2010.04.009.
- Flor, L. and Defilippi, E. (2003) ‘Port Infrastructure: An access model for the essential facility’,

Maritime Economics and Logistics, 5(2), pp. 158–178. doi: 10.1057/palgrave.mel.9100075.

Frantzeskaki, N., Wittmayer, J. and Loorbach, D. (2014) ‘The role of partnerships in “realising” urban sustainability in Rotterdam’s City Ports Area, the Netherlands’, *Journal of Cleaner Production*. Elsevier Ltd, 65, pp. 406–417. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.09.023.

Gonza, M. (2008) ‘Reforms and infrastructure efficiency in Spain ’ s container ports’, 42, pp. 243–257. doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2007.08.006.

Grainger, A. (2019) ‘Port resilience: a primer’, (January).

Hakam, M. H. and Wei, D. S. (2009) ‘On flexibility and sustainability in container ports’, 2009 *IEEE/INFORMS International Conference on Service Operations, Logistics and Informatics, SOLI 2009*. IEEE, pp. 417–422. doi: 10.1109/SOLI.2009.5203969.

Heilig, L. and Voß, S. (2017) ‘Information systems in seaports : a categorization and overview’, *Information Technology and Management*. Springer US, 18(3), pp. 179–201. doi: 10.1007/s10799-016-0269-1.

Hidalgo-Gallego, S., Núñez-Sánchez, R. and Coto-Millán, P. (2021) ‘Strategic interdependence in capacity expansion: A spatial analysis for port infrastructure services’, *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 143(October 2020), pp. 14–29. doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2020.11.012.

Hossain, T., Adams, M. and Walker, T. R. (2019) ‘Sustainability initiatives in Canadian ports’, *Marine Policy*. Elsevier Ltd, 106(May), p. 103519. doi: 10.1016/j.marpol.2019.103519.

Hosseini, S. and Barker, K. (2016a) ‘Computers & Industrial Engineering Modeling infrastructure resilience using Bayesian networks : A case study of inland waterway ports’, *COMPUTERS & INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING*. Elsevier Ltd, 93, pp. 252–266. doi: 10.1016/j.cie.2016.01.007.

Hosseini, S. and Barker, K. (2016b) ‘Modeling infrastructure resilience using Bayesian networks: A case study of inland waterway ports’, *Computers and Industrial Engineering*. Elsevier Ltd, 93, pp. 252–266. doi: 10.1016/j.cie.2016.01.007.

Lalla-Ruiz, E., Heilig, L. and Voß, S. (2018) ‘Environmental Sustainability in Ports’, *Sustainable Transportation and Smart Logistics: Decision-Making Models and Solutions*, pp. 65–89. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-814242-4.00003-X.

Lim, S. et al. (2019) ‘Port sustainability and performance : A systematic literature review’, *Transportation Research Part D*. Elsevier, 72(April), pp. 47–64. doi: 10.1016/j.trd.2019.04.009.

Mansouri, M., Nilchiani, R. and Mostashari, A. (2010) ‘A policy making framework for resilient port infrastructure systems’, *Marine Policy*. Elsevier, 34(6), pp. 1125–1134. doi: 10.1016/j.marpol.2010.03.012.

Molavi, A., Lim, G. J. and Race, B. (2019) ‘A framework for building a smart port and smart port index’, *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation*. Taylor & Francis, 0(0), pp. 1–13. doi: 10.1080/15568318.2019.1610919.

Molavi, A., Lim, G. J. and Shi, J. (2020) ‘Stimulating sustainable energy at maritime ports by hybrid economic incentives : A bilevel optimization approach’, *Applied Energy*. Elsevier, 272(June), p. 115188. doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.115188.

Molina Serrano, B. et al. (2018) ‘Classification and prediction of port variables using Bayesian Networks’, *Transport Policy*, 67(April 2017), pp. 57–66. doi: 10.1016/j.tranpol.2017.07.013.

MPA Singapore (2020) *CONSTRUCTING A RESILIENT PORT OF THE FUTURE The World 's Single Largest Container Port.*

Mutombo, K. and Kuroshi, L. (2019) 'A new approach to assessing port infrastructure resilience to climate risks and adaptive solutions prioritization', (December).

Mutombo, K. and Ölcer, A. (2017) 'Towards port infrastructure adaptation : a global port climate risk analysis'. WMU Journal of Maritime Affairs, pp. 161–173. doi: 10.1007/s13437-016-0113-9.

Othman, M. K. *et al.* (2019) 'The Sustainable Port Classification Framework for Enhancing the Port Coordination System', *Asian Journal of Shipping and Logistics*. Elsevier B.V., 35(1), pp. 13–23. doi: 10.1016/j.ajsl.2019.03.003.

Pérez, I., González, M. M. and Trujillo, L. (2020) 'Do specialisation and port size affect port efficiency? Evidence from cargo handling service in Spanish ports', *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*. Elsevier, 138(June), pp. 234–249. doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2020.05.022.

Peter, B. (2019) 'Financing Climate-Resilient Infrastructure'.

Russell, H. R. (2020) 'Methodology for Quantifying Resiliency of Transportation Systems'.

Saaty, T. L. and Vargas, L. G. (2013) *Decision Making with the Analytic Network Process*.

Schipper, C. A., Vreugdenhil, H. and de Jong, M. P. C. (2017) 'A sustainability assessment of ports and port-city plans: Comparing ambitions with achievements', *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*. Elsevier, 57, pp. 84–111. doi: 10.1016/j.trd.2017.08.017.

Smith, C. S. *et al.* (2020) 'Coming to Terms With Living Shorelines : A Scoping Review of Novel Restoration Strategies for Shoreline Protection', 7(June). doi: 10.3389/fmars.2020.00434.

Song, L. and van Geenhuizen, M. (2014) 'Port infrastructure investment and regional economic growth in China: Panel evidence in port regions and provinces', *Transport Policy*. Elsevier, 36, pp. 173–183. doi: 10.1016/j.tranpol.2014.08.003.

Taghizadeh-Hesary, F. *et al.* (2021) 'Quality infrastructure and natural disaster resiliency: A panel analysis of Asia and the Pacific', *Economic Analysis and Policy*. Elsevier B.V., 69, pp. 394–406. doi: 10.1016/j.eap.2020.12.021.

Taneja, P. (2013) *The Flexible Port*. Available at:
<http://www.narcis.nl/publication/RecordID/oai:tudelft.nl:uuid:a9f0c128-d4c3-41a2-8790-13aec89dca63>.

Taneja, P., Ligteringen, H. and Walker, W. E. (2012) 'Flexibility in Port Planning and Design', 12(12), pp. 66–87.

Taneja, P. and Vellinga, T. (2018) 'Towards Sustainable Port Infrastructure Through Planned Adaptation', *PIANC-World Congress Panama City, Panama 2018*, p. 13.

Taneja, P., Vellinga, T. and Ros, R. (2014) 'Role of flexibility in sustainable port development', *Topics in Safety, Risk, Reliability and Quality*, 24, pp. 41–53. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-02493-6_4.

Tsao, Y. C. *et al.* (2019) 'A multi-objective mixed robust possibilistic flexible programming approach for sustainable seaport-dry port network design under an uncertain environment', *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*. Elsevier, 124(September 2018), pp. 13–39. doi: 10.1016/j.tre.2019.02.006.

Tsao, Y. C. and Thanh, V. Van (2019) ‘A multi-objective mixed robust possibilistic flexible programming approach for sustainable seaport-dry port network design under an uncertain environment’, *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*. Elsevier, 124(September 2018), pp. 13–39. doi: 10.1016/j.tre.2019.02.006.

Tseng, P. H. and Yip, T. L. (2020) ‘An evaluation model of cruise ports using fuzzy analytic hierarchy process’, *Maritime Business Review*, 6(1), pp. 22–48. doi: 10.1108/MABR-01-2020-0004.

Twrdy, E. and Zanne, M. (2020) ‘Improvement of the sustainability of ports logistics by the development of innovative green infrastructure solutions’, *Transportation Research Procedia*. Elsevier B.V., 45(2019), pp. 539–546. doi: 10.1016/j.trpro.2020.03.059.

Ugwu, O. O. and Haupt, T. C. (2007) ‘Key performance indicators and assessment methods for infrastructure sustainability-a South African construction industry perspective’, *Building and Environment*, 42(2), pp. 665–680. doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2005.10.018.

Wang, Z. *et al.* (2017) ‘Port sustainable services innovation: Ningbo port users’ expectation’, *Sustainable Production and Consumption*. Elsevier B.V., 11(February 2016), pp. 58–67. doi: 10.1016/j.spc.2016.08.002.

World Ports Sustainability Program (2020) *World ports sustainability report 2020*. Available at: <https://sustainableworldports.org/reference-documents/>.

Yang, Y. C. and Ge, Y. E. (2020) ‘Adaptation strategies for port infrastructure and facilities under climate change at the Kaohsiung port’, *Transport Policy*. Elsevier Ltd, 97(July), pp. 232–244. doi: 10.1016/j.tranpol.2020.06.019.

Yemen Gulf of Aden Ports Corporation (2021) <http://www.portofaden.net/en>.

Yunus, R. M. *et al.* (2013) ‘Expert Choice for Ranking Heritage Streets’, *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Elsevier B.V., 101, pp. 465–475. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.07.220.

Zhang, Y. *et al.* (2017) ‘Optimal sustainable life cycle maintenance strategies for port infrastructures’, *Journal of Cleaner Production*. Elsevier Ltd, 142, pp. 1693–1709. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.11.120.

Zhuoyi, S. and Ct, W. (2008) ‘Masterplan of Jebel Ali Port’.

Zwakhals, J. ., Taneja, P. and Ligteringen, H. (2012) ‘Quay wall design for an uncertain future’, *Proceedings of 8th International Conference on Coastal and Port Engineering in Developing Countries (COPEDEC 2012)*, IIT Madras, Chennai, India, (May), pp. 1550–1560.



الأكاديمية العربية للعلوم والتكنولوجيا والنقل البحري
كلية الهندسة والتكنولوجيا
قسم هندسة التشييد والبناء

تحديد أولويات المعايير المختلفة لتعزيز البنية التحتية المرنة للموانئ

إعداد
عمر عبدالله عوض باسعيد

رسالة مقدمة للأكاديمية العربية للعلوم والتكنولوجيا والنقل البحري لاستكمال متطلبات نيل درجة
ماجستير العلوم
في
هندسة التشييد والبناء

إشراف
أ.د. أكرم سليمان السلمي
عميد كلية الهندسة والتكنولوجيا
أستاذ الهندسة الساحلية وتحطيط الموانئ
كلية الهندسة والتكنولوجيا
الأكاديمية العربية للعلوم والتكنولوجيا والنقل البحري
الإسكندرية، مصر

إقرار الباحث

أقر بأن المادة العلمية الواردة في هذه الرسالة قد تم تحديد مصدرها العلمي وأن محتوى الرسالة غير مقدم للحصول على أي درجة علمية أخرى، وأن مضمون هذه الرسالة يعكس آراء الباحث الخاصة وهي ليست بالضرورة الآراء التي تتبعها الجهة المانحة.

الأسم عمر عبدالله عوض باسعيد

التوقيع

التاريخ



إقرار لجنة التحكيم والمناقشة
عمر عبدالله عوض باسعيد

تم مناقشة هذه الرسالة وإجازتها بتاريخ: 2022 / 3 / 21

أعضاء اللجنة

الأستاذة المشرفون على الرسالة:

الاسم: أ.د. أكرم سليمان السلمي
الوظيفة: أستاذ الهندسة الساحلية وخطيط الموانئ،
عميد كلية الهندسة والتكنولوجيا - الأكاديمية
العربية للعلوم والتكنولوجيا والنقل البحري -
الإسكندرية

الاسم: د. يوسف محمد خيري
الوظيفة: محاضر - قسم هندسة التشييد والبناء -
كلية الهندسة والتكنولوجيا - الأكاديمية العربية
للعلوم والتكنولوجيا والنقل البحري - الإسكندرية

الأستاذة المحكمون:

الاسم: أ.د. حسام الدين محمد مغازي
الوظيفة: أستاذ هندسة الري والصرف، وزير الموارد المائية
والري الأسيق - رئيس قسم هندسة الري
والهيدروليكا - كلية الهندسة - جامعة الإسكندرية
- الإسكندرية

الاسم: أ.د. رين فان زيتين
الوظيفة: أستاذ زائر - الجامعة البحرية العالمية - مالمو،
السويد

الاسم: أ.د. أكرم سليمان السلمي
الوظيفة: أستاذ الهندسة الساحلية وخطيط الموانئ - عميد
كلية الهندسة والتكنولوجيا - الأكاديمية العربية
للغات والتكنولوجيا والنقل البحري - الإسكندرية

أودع هذه الرسالة بالمكتبة بتاريخ / /

الملخص

تواجه أنظمة البنية التحتية للموانئ عدداً متزايداً من الإضطرابات مثل نمو التجارة العالمية، وزيادة أحجام السفن، وتغيرات تغير المناخ، وتغيير الطلب والوظائف والقيود الجديدة. نتيجة لذلك، يتم في هذا البحث التحقق من نموذج قرار البنية التحتية المرونة للميناء استناداً إلى وجهة نظر صانعي القرار في الموانئ المختلفة في أوروبا والشرق الأوسط وكذلك المركز الإستشاري للموانئ والخدمات اللوجستية الذي يمثل القطاع الخاص، هدف الدراسة هو تحديد أهم المعايير التي تعزز البنية التحتية المرونة للميناء. وبالتالي، يساعد هذا البحث في فهم أفضل لتأثير البنية التحتية المرونة للميناء على الإزدهار المستدام للجانبين الاجتماعي والإقتصادي مع مراعاة الوضع البيئي من خلال موازنة أربعة جوانب رئيسية: المادية والبيئية والإقتصادية والرفقية. يتم في البحث استخدام عملية التسلسل الهرمي التحليلي (AHP) كمنهجية لتحليل البيانات، التي يتم جمعها من أصحاب القرار بالموانئ والقطاعات الخاصة المستهدفة باستخدام الاستبيان.

وتشير النتائج إلى وجود بعض التباينات في وجهات النظر والتوقعات بين متخذي القرار في الموانئ المختلفة، حيث ترکز الموانئ الأوروبية على المعيار المادي المتمثل في التخطيط والتصميم لتعزيز مرونة البنية التحتية للميناء، بينما ترى موانئ الشرق الأوسط أن تعزيز المعيار الإقتصادي المتمثل في الإستخدام الفعال للموارد سيعزز مرونة البنية التحتية للميناء، في حين اعتبر مركز الإستشارات للموانئ واللوگستیات (ممثل القطاع الخاص) أن تعزيز المعيار الرقمي المتمثل في تحسين الرقمنة سيساعد على تعزيز البنية التحتية المرونة للميناء. لذلك، تم تكامل الأولويات العالمية لجميع الإستجابات لتحديد الأولويات المشتركة لكل من الموانئ الأوروبية وموانئ الشرق الأوسط والقطاع الخاص. أظهرت النتائج أن التخطيط والتصميم والاستخدام الفعال للموارد أهم المعايير للبنية التحتية المرونة للميناء والتي يمكن إستخدامها في تطوير إستراتيجيات وخطط البنية التحتية للموانئ. بينما لا يبدو أن للبنية التحتية الصديقة للبيئة والإبتكار التقني تأثير كبير على مرونة البنية التحتية للموانئ.

أيضاً تم تحليل الحساسية لمعرفة مدى تأثير زيادة الأوزان المحلية على الأولويات العالمية. حيث أظهرت النتائج إسقراط الأولويات العالمية في معظم الحالات عند مقارنتها بالنتائج الأولية، باستثناء بعض الآثار الطفيفة على ترتيب الأولويات العالمية والذي يمكن تجاوزه، لذا يمكن اعتبار ان النتائج مقبولة.

بالإضافة إلى ذلك، فإن مقدار الفوائد (الإقتصادي والإجتماعية والبيئية) الناتجة عن مشاريع البنية التحتية المرونة المنفذة في الموانئ عالية إلى عالية جداً. سيشجع ذلك أصحاب المصلحة على الإستثمار في هذا النوع من المشاريع. تم تطبيق التخطيط والتصميم كأهم أولوية لتعزيز مرونة البنية التحتية للميناء في محطة حاويات عدن ومقارنته بالمقترن المقترن من هيئة ميناء عدن، حيث كانت نتيجة المقارنة أن إقتراحنا لديه سعة تخزين أكبر، أرصفة أطول، وعدد أكبر من السفن مقارنة بالمقترن المقترن من هيئة ميناء عدن.